

# RELATIVELY FREE INVARIANT ALGEBRAS OF FINITE REFLECTION GROUPS

MÁTYÁS DOMOKOS

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $Gl_n(K)$  ( $K$  is a field of characteristic 0 and  $n \geq 2$ ) acting by linear substitution on a relatively free algebra  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / I$  of a variety of unitary associative algebras. The algebra of invariants is relatively free if and only if  $G$  is a pseudo-reflection group and  $I$  contains the polynomial  $[[x_2, x_1], x_1]$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper  $K$  is a field of characteristic 0 and  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  is the unitary free associative  $K$ -algebra of rank  $n$ . The general linear group  $Gl_n = Gl_n(K)$  acts on the free algebra by linear substitution. More explicitly, if  $g = (g_{ij}) \in Gl_n$ , then

$$g \cdot x_j = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{ij} x_i,$$

and for any  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$

$$g \cdot f = f(g \cdot x_1, \dots, g \cdot x_n).$$

An ideal  $I$  of the unitary free associative algebra  $K\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  of countable rank is called a *T-ideal* if  $I$  is invariant under any  $K$ -algebra endomorphism of the free algebra, that is,  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I$  implies  $f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in I$  for any  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in K\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ .

$I_n = I \cap K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  is invariant under the action of  $Gl_n$ , so

$$F_n(I) = K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / I_n$$

inherits the  $Gl_n$ -structure.  $F_n(I)$  is a relatively free algebra of rank  $n$  of the variety of unitary associative algebras satisfying all the identities  $f = 0$  with  $f \in I$ . Denote by  $y_i$  the image of  $x_i$  under the natural homomorphism

$$K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / I_n.$$

---

Received by the editors September 7, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16W20; Secondary 16R10.

*Key words and phrases*. Relatively free algebra, reflection group, invariants, Hilbert series.

This research was partially supported by Széchenyi István Scholarship Foundation and by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grant no. T4265.

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $Gl_n$  and denote by

$$F_n(I)^G = \{f \in F_n(I) \mid g \cdot f = f \ \forall g \in G\}$$

the *algebra of invariants of  $G$* . We refer to the article of Formanek [8] for a survey of the results on the algebra of invariants of a finite linear group acting on a relatively free algebra. In the special case of the variety of all unitary commutative algebras, that is, when  $I$  is generated by the commutator  $[x_1, x_2] = x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$ , the relatively free algebra

$$F_n(I) = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

is the  $n$  variable commutative polynomial algebra, and the study of  $F_n(I)^G$  is the topic of classical invariant theory.

An element  $g \in Gl_n(K)$  is called a *pseudo-reflection*, if it fixes an  $n - 1$  dimensional subspace of  $K^n$ . If  $g$  is of finite order then  $g$  is a pseudo-reflection if and only if  $g$  has the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity  $n - 1$ . A finite subgroup  $G < Gl_n$  is called a *pseudo-reflection group* if it is generated by pseudo-reflections. Our starting point is the following famous result (Shephard-Todd [13], Chevalley [2]):

**Theorem 1.1.**  *$K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$  is a polynomial algebra if and only if  $G$  is a pseudo-reflection group. Moreover, if  $G$  is a pseudo-reflection group, then there exist  $n$  algebraically independent homogeneous forms which generate  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ . The degrees  $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$  of these forms are uniquely determined by  $G$ , and are called the degrees of  $G$ . We have the equality  $|G| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_i$ , and the number of pseudo-reflections in  $G$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1)$ .*

We shall extend the notion of algebraic independence to any variety of unitary associative  $K$ -algebras. We fix a T-ideal  $I$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{V}(I)$  the variety defined by  $I$ . Let  $R$  be an algebra in  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ . We say that the elements  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R$  are *algebraically independent*, if  $h(f_1, \dots, f_m) = 0$  for some  $h \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$  implies that  $h \in I$ . Note that this notion of algebraic independence depends on the T-ideal  $I$  and belongs to the variety  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ .

Guralnick proved in [9] that if  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 2$  and  $I$  is the T-ideal of the  $k \times k$  matrix algebra over  $K$ , then  $F_n(I)^G$  is not relatively free for any finite group  $G$ . As it was pointed out in [8, p. 105], this result implies easily that  $F_n(I)^G$  is not relatively free if  $I$  is contained in the T-ideal of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix algebra. In this paper we give a complete answer to the question of determining when  $F_n(I)^G$  is relatively free. The answer was conjectured by Drensky [7].

The case  $n = 1$  is trivial, because then  $F_1(I) \cong K[x_1] \cong K\langle x_1 \rangle$  and  $G$  is a cyclic group acting by scalar multiplication, so the algebra of invariants is  $K[x^m]$  for some positive integer  $m$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G < Gl_n(K)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a finite group and let  $I$  be a T-ideal. Then  $F_n(I)^G$  is generated by algebraically independent elements if and only if  $G$  is a pseudo-reflection group and  $I$  contains the polynomial  $[[x_2, x_1], x_1]$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The algebra  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle^G$  is always free and almost never finitely generated by [4] and [10]. However, working in a proper subvariety of the variety of all unitary associative  $K$ -algebras the notion of transcendence degree makes sense.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Fix a non-zero T-ideal  $I$  and consider the variety  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ . If  $R$  is an algebra in the variety  $\mathcal{V}(I)$  generated by  $n$  elements, then it does not contain more than  $n$  algebraically independent elements.*

*Proof.*  $R$  is a homomorphic image of  $F_n(I)$  and obviously the preimages of algebraically independent elements of  $R$  are also algebraically independent, so it suffices to prove the proposition for the relatively free algebra  $F_n(I)$ . Assume that  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in F_n(I)$  are algebraically independent. Let  $J \subseteq K\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  be the radical of  $I$ , that is,

$$J = \{f \in K\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle \mid f^N \in I \text{ for some } N\}.$$

It is well known (see for example [12, Theorems 1.5.32, 2.4.7 and 3.2.6]) that  $J$  is the set of identities of the  $k \times k$  matrix algebra for some  $k \geq 1$ . We have the natural onto homomorphism

$$K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / I_n \rightarrow K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / J_n.$$

Denote by  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  the images of  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ . Suppose that for some  $p \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$  we have  $p(h_1, \dots, h_m) = 0$ . Then there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $p^N(f_1, \dots, f_m) = 0$  in  $F_n(I)$ , and the algebraic independence of the  $f_i$ s implies that  $p^N(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in I_m$ . Hence  $p(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in J_m$  by the definition of  $J_m$ , and this shows the algebraic independence of  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  in  $F_n(J)$ .

The center of  $F_m(J)$  is a commutative domain and its transcendence degree is  $d = (m-1)k^2 + 1$  (see for example [8, p. 105]). Let

$$c_1 = c_1(y_1, \dots, y_m), \dots, c_d = c_d(y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

be a transcendence basis of the center of  $F_m(J)$  (so the  $c_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  are central polynomials for the  $k \times k$  matrix algebra). The algebraic independence of  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  in  $F_n(J)$  together with the algebraic independence (in the ordinary sense) of  $c_1, \dots, c_d$  in the center of  $F_m(J)$  implies that  $c_i(h_1, \dots, h_m)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, d$ ) are algebraically independent in the center of  $F_n(J)$ . But this center has transcendence degree  $(n-1)k^2 + 1$ , implying that  $m \leq n$ .  $\square$

We use the following crucial argument from [8] or [9]. Any non-zero T-ideal  $I$  is contained in the T-ideal generated by  $[x_1, x_2]$ . So we have the natural onto homomorphism

$$F_n(I) \rightarrow K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

which commutes with the action of  $G$ , and by the complete reducibility of this action the homomorphism

$$F_n(I)^G \rightarrow K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$$

is also onto. Therefore the image of a generating set of  $F_n(I)^G$  is a generating set of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ , hence  $F_n(I)^G$  can not be generated by less than  $n$  elements. Thus if  $F_n(I)^G$  is generated by algebraically independent elements, then by Proposition 2.1 it is generated by  $n$  elements.

Assume that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generate  $F_n(I)^G$ . Their images in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generate  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ , hence  $G$  must be a pseudo-reflection group. We may assume by [9, Lemma 2] that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are homogeneous, therefore their degrees are the degrees of  $G$ . Moreover, the proof of [9, Lemma 2] shows that if  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in F_n(I)^G$  such that their images generate  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ , then  $F_n(I)^G = K\langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$ .

By the above discussion Theorem 1.2 splits into the next two statements:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reflection group and let  $I$  be a  $T$ -ideal containing  $[[x_2, x_1], x_1]$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are homogeneous elements in  $F_n(I)^G$  such that their images generate  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ , then  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are algebraically independent in  $F_n(I)$  and they generate  $F_n(I)^G$ . In particular,  $F_n(I)^G \cong F_n(I)$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *If the  $T$ -ideal  $I$  does not contain the polynomial  $[[x_2, x_1], x_1]$ , then  $F_n(I)^G$  can not be generated by  $n$  elements for any  $n \geq 2$  and finite group  $G < Gl_n(K)$ .*

We recall some basic facts about the  $T$ -ideals of the unitary free associative  $K$ -algebra. Let  $B$  denote the subalgebra of  $K\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  generated by all the commutators  $[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}]$  with  $r \geq 2$ , where  $[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  is defined inductively by  $[x_1, \dots, x_r] = [[x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}], x_r]$  for  $r \geq 3$ . The elements of  $B$  are called *proper* polynomials, and they can be characterised as the polynomials with zero partial derivatives. Clearly,  $B_n = B \cap K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  is a  $Gl_n$ -submodule of  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Drensky showed (see [5, Theorem 2.2]) that any  $T$ -ideal  $I$  of the free unitary algebra is generated by the proper polynomials that it contains, and as  $Gl_n$ -modules

$$(2.1) \quad F_n(I) \cong K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes (B_n/B_n \cap I).$$

Both  $I_n$  and  $B_n$  are multigraded subalgebras with respect to the usual multigrading on  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ , so  $F_n(I)$  and  $B_n/B_n \cap I$  inherit the multigrading.

For any  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and multigraded algebra  $R$  we put

$$R^{(\alpha)} = \{f \in R \mid f \text{ is multihomogeneous of multidegree } \alpha\},$$

and for any  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra  $R$  and non-negative integer  $d$

$$R^{(d)} = \{f \in R \mid f \text{ is homogeneous of total degree } d\}.$$

Obviously  $B_n^{(0)} = K$  and  $B_n^{(1)} = \emptyset$ . If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $B_n^{(2)}$  is an irreducible  $Gl_n$ -module generated by  $[x_1, x_2]$ , and  $B_n^{(3)}$  is an irreducible  $Gl_n$ -module generated by  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$ . Therefore there exists a unique maximal  $T$ -ideal  $M$  among the  $T$ -ideals not containing  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$ ,  $M$  is generated by the proper polynomials of degree greater than 3 (in particular,  $M$  has no elements of degree less than 4). If  $I$  is a  $T$ -ideal not containing  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$ , then  $F_n(M)^G$  is a homomorphic image of  $F_n(I)^G$ , thus Theorem 2.3 is a consequence of the following more special statement:

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be the  $T$ -ideal generated by the proper polynomials of degree greater than 3, and let  $G < Gl_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a finite pseudo-reflection group. Then  $F_n(M)^G$  can not be generated by  $n$  elements.*

The Hilbert series of a multigraded algebra  $R$  is an  $n$  variable formal power series defined by

$$H(R; t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \dim_K(R^{(\alpha)}) t_1^{\alpha_1} \dots t_n^{\alpha_n},$$

and the Hilbert series of an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra  $R$  is the formal power series

$$H(R; t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \dim_K(R^{(d)}) t^d.$$

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $Gl_n(K)$ . For any element  $g \in G$  denote by  $\omega_1(g), \dots, \omega_n(g)$  the eigenvalues of  $g$  in the algebraic closure of  $K$ .  $F_n(I)^G$  is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra, and we have the noncommutative Molien-Weyl formula (see [8, Theorem 7]):

$$(2.2) \quad H(F_n(I)^G; t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} H(F_n(I); \omega_1(g)t, \dots, \omega_n(g)t).$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

Denote by  $J$  the T-ideal generated by  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$ , and let

$$s_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}(d)} (-1)^\pi x_{\pi(1)} \dots x_{\pi(d)}$$

be the *standard polynomial*. It is well known (see [6, 3.2.1. Theorem]) that  $B_n^{(d)}/B_n^{(d)} \cap J = 0$ , if  $d$  is odd or  $d > n$ , and it is an irreducible  $Gl_n$ -module generated by  $s_d(y_1, \dots, y_d)$  if  $d$  is even and  $d \leq n$ . Let  $J(m)$  be the T-ideal generated by  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$  and  $s_{2m}(x_1, \dots, x_{2m})$ , so the only T-ideals containing  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$  are  $J$  and  $J(m)$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ). We note that

$$K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / J(m)_n = K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / J_n \quad \text{if } 2m > n.$$

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F_n(J(m))^G$  be homogeneous invariants such that their images in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generate  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ . We prove by induction on  $m$  that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are algebraically independent in  $F_n(J(m))$ . In the case  $m = 1$  there is nothing to prove. Suppose that the statement is true for  $F_n(J(k))$ , where  $k \leq m$ . We may assume that  $2m \leq n$ . The algebra  $F_n(J(m+1))$  has a linear basis (see [6])

$$\{y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} s_{2k}(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{2k}}) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n\}.$$

Suppose that  $h(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 0$  in  $F_n(J(m+1))$  for some  $h \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  with  $h \notin J(m+1)_n$ . Then we may assume that  $h$  is of the form

$$h = \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} a_{\alpha, k, i} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} s_{2k}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{2k}}),$$

where at least one of the coefficients  $a_{\alpha, k, i}$  is non-zero. Let  $k_0$  be the minimal  $k$  such that  $a_{\alpha, k, i} \neq 0$  for some  $\alpha, i$ . If  $k_0 \leq m-1$ , then by the induction hypothesis

$$\sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, k_0, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} s_{2k_0}(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_{2k_0}}) \notin J_n(k_0+1)/J_n(m+1).$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{\alpha, k > k_0, i} a_{\alpha, k, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} s_{2k}(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_{2k}}) \equiv 0 \pmod{J_n(k_0+1)/J_n(m+1)},$$

contradicting that  $h(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 0$  in  $F_n(J(m+1))$ . Thus  $k_0 = m$  and we have

$$\sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} s_{2m}(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_{2m}}) = 0$$

in  $F_n(J(m+1))$ .

Define the maps

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} : F_n(J(m+1)) \rightarrow F_n(J(m+1)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

in the following way. If  $f = f(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in F_n(J(m+1))$  is multihomogeneous of multidegree  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , then let  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} f$  be the multihomogeneous component of multidegree  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i - 1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  of  $f(y_1 + 1, \dots, y_n + 1)$  ( $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} f = 0$  if  $\alpha_i = 0$ ). Now extend  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$  to  $F_n(J(m+1))$  by linearity. Clearly,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$  is a derivation.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $f, g \in F_n(J(m+1))$  we have the equality*

$$[f, g] \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j} [y_i, y_j] \quad (\text{modulo } C^2),$$

where

$$C = F_n(J(m+1))[F_n(J(m+1)), F_n(J(m+1))]F_n(J(m+1))$$

is the commutator ideal of  $F_n(J(m+1))$ .

*Proof.* By the multilinearity of the derivations and  $[ , ]$  it suffices to prove the lemma when

$$f = y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k} \text{ and } g = y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l}$$

are monomials. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l}) \\ &= y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_{k-1}} y_{j_1} y_{i_k} y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l} + y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_{k-1}} [y_{i_k}, y_{j_1}] y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l} \\ &= (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_{k-1}})(y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l}) [y_{i_k}, y_{j_1}] + y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_{k-1}} y_{j_1} y_{i_k} y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l} \end{aligned}$$

(the second equality follows from the fact that any commutator lies in the center of  $F_n(J(m+1))$ ). Now exchange  $y_{i_{k-1}}$  and  $y_{j_1}$  in the second term of the above sum, that is, replace this term by

$$y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_{k-2}} y_{j_1} y_{i_{k-1}} y_{i_k} y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l} + (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_{k-2}} y_{j_k})(y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l}) [y_{i_{k-1}}, y_{j_1}].$$

Continuing this process we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l}) \\ &= y_{j_1} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l}) + \sum_{r=1}^k (y_{i_1} \dots \hat{y}_{i_r} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l}) [y_{i_r}, y_{j_1}], \end{aligned}$$

where the sign  $\hat{y}_{i_r}$  means that we delete  $y_{i_r}$  in the word  $y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k}$ . On applying the same process to the word  $(y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l})$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l}) &= \sum_{r=1}^k (y_{i_1} \dots \hat{y}_{i_r} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l})[y_{i_r}, y_{j_1}] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^k y_{j_1} (y_{i_1} \dots \hat{y}_{i_r} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_3} \dots y_{j_l})[y_{i_r}, y_{j_2}] \\
 &\quad + y_{j_1} y_{j_2} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_3} \dots y_{j_l}) \\
 &\stackrel{(\text{mod } C^2)}{\equiv} \sum_{r=1}^k (y_{i_1} \dots \hat{y}_{i_r} \dots y_{i_k})(\hat{y}_{j_1} y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l})[y_{i_r}, y_{j_1}] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^k (y_{i_1} \dots \hat{y}_{i_r} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_1} \hat{y}_{j_2} y_{j_3} \dots y_{j_l})[y_{i_r}, y_{j_2}] \\
 &\quad + y_{j_1} y_{j_2} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_3} \dots y_{j_l}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k}) \right) (\hat{y}_{j_1} y_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l}) [y_i, y_{j_1}] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k}) \right) (y_{j_1} \hat{y}_{j_2} \dots y_{j_l}) [y_i, y_{j_2}] \\
 &\quad + y_{j_1} y_{j_2} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_3} \dots y_{j_l}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this algorithm finally we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k})(y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l}) \\
 &\stackrel{(\text{mod } C^2)}{\equiv} (y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l})(y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^l \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k}) \right) (y_{j_1} \dots \hat{y}_{j_r} \dots y_{j_l}) [y_i, y_{j_r}] \\
 &= (y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l})(y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_k}) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_l}) \right) [y_i, y_j],
 \end{aligned}$$

which explicitly shows the claim.  $\square$

Now we use Lemma 3.1 to rewrite  $A = s_{2m}(f_1, \dots, f_{2m})$ . By the definition of  $s_{2m}$

$$A = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\pi [f_{\pi(1)}, f_{\pi(2)}] \dots [f_{\pi(2m-1)}, f_{\pi(2m)}].$$

We have  $C^{m+1} = 0$  in  $F_n(J(m+1))$ , because the commutators are central elements of  $F_n(J(m+1))$  and any proper polynomial of degree greater than  $2m+1$  is contained in  $J(m+1)$ . Therefore in the right hand side of the above equality we may replace  $[f_{\pi(2k-1)}, f_{\pi(2k)}]$  by something that is congruent with it modulo  $C^2$ .

So by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\pi \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{2m})} \frac{\partial f_{\pi(1)}}{\partial y_{i_1}} \frac{\partial f_{\pi(2)}}{\partial y_{i_2}} [y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}] \\ &\quad \dots \frac{\partial f_{\pi(2m-1)}}{\partial y_{i_{2m-1}}} \frac{\partial f_{\pi(2m)}}{\partial y_{i_{2m}}} [y_{i_{2m-1}}, y_{i_{2m}}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\pi \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{2m})} \frac{\partial f_{\pi(1)}}{\partial y_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial f_{\pi(2m)}}{\partial y_{i_{2m}}} [y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}] \dots [y_{i_{2m-1}}, y_{i_{2m}}]. \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial  $[x_1, x_2][x_1, x_3]$  is contained in  $J$ , hence if the  $i_1, \dots, i_{2m}$  are not pairwise different, then the corresponding term is zero in the above expression, implying that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\pi \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2m} \leq n} \sum_{\rho \in \text{Sym}(2m)} \frac{\partial f_{\pi(1)}}{\partial y_{i_{\rho(1)}}} \\ &\quad \dots \frac{\partial f_{\pi(2m)}}{\partial y_{i_{\rho(2m)}}} [y_{i_{\rho(1)}}, y_{i_{\rho(2)}}] \dots [y_{i_{\rho(2m-1)}}, y_{i_{\rho(2m)}}] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2m} \leq n} \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\rho \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\rho [y_{i_{\rho(1)}}, y_{i_{\rho(2)}}] \dots [y_{i_{\rho(2m-1)}}, y_{i_{\rho(2m)}}] \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\pi (-1)^\rho \frac{\partial f_{\pi(1)}}{\partial y_{i_{\rho(1)}}} \dots \frac{\partial f_{\pi(2m)}}{\partial y_{i_{\rho(2m)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by  $C^{m+1} = 0$  we may permute  $\frac{\partial f_{\pi(1)}}{\partial y_{i_{\rho(1)}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\pi(2m)}}{\partial y_{i_{\rho(2m)}}}$  among each other in the above expression, and we get

$$A = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2m} \leq n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\sigma \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \dots \frac{\partial f_{2m}}{\partial y_{i_{\sigma(2m)}}} s_{2m}(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{2m}}).$$

For any  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2m} \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{2m} \leq n$  we put

$$f_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(2m)} (-1)^\sigma \frac{\partial f_{i_1}}{\partial y_{j_{\sigma(1)}}} \dots \frac{\partial f_{i_{2m}}}{\partial y_{j_{\sigma(2m)}}}.$$

Consider the natural homomorphism

$$\psi : F_n(J(m+1)) \rightarrow K[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

The image of any  $f \in F_n(J(m+1))$  has a normal form

$$\psi(f) = \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} b_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Now let  $\phi$  be the map  $F_n(J(m+1)) \rightarrow F_n(J(m+1))$  defined by

$$\phi(f) = \sum_{\alpha} b_\alpha y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n}.$$



Obviously, we have  $\phi(f) \equiv f \pmod{C}$ , hence  $C^{m+1} = 0$  implies that

$$f s_{2m}(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{2m}}) = \phi(f) s_{2m}(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{2m}}).$$

Summarizing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h(f_1, \dots, f_n) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2m} \leq n} a_{\alpha, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} s_{2m}(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_{2m}}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{2m} \leq n} \phi \left( \sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} f_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} \right) s_{2m}(y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_{2m}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now since the elements  $y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} s_{2m}(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{2m}})$  are linearly independent in  $F_n(J(m+1))$ ,

$$\phi \left( \sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} f_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} \right)$$

must be zero for all  $j_1, \dots, j_{2m}$ , implying that

$$\psi \left( \sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} f_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} \right) = 0,$$

because the monomials  $y_1^{\beta_1} \dots y_n^{\beta_n}$  are linearly independent in  $F_n(J(m+1))$ .

Introduce the symbols  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ , and let

$$E = K \langle dx_1, \dots, dx_n \mid dx_i dx_j = -dx_j dx_i, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq n \rangle$$

be the Grassmann algebra of an  $n$  dimensional linear space. Consider the map

$$d : K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes E$$

defined by

$$df = d(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i.$$

The commutative polynomials  $h_i = \psi(f_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) form an algebraically independent generating set of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ . Direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, i} h_1^{\alpha_1} \dots h_n^{\alpha_n} dh_{i_1} \dots dh_{i_{2m}} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{2m} \leq n} \psi \left( \sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, i} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} f_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}} \right) dx_{j_1} \dots dx_{j_n}, \end{aligned}$$

and by our hypothesis the latter is zero. Now [14, Theorem] implies that

$$\{h_1^{\alpha_1} \dots h_n^{\alpha_n} dh_{i_1} \dots dh_{i_{2m}} \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}, \ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2m} \leq n\}$$

is a linearly independent subset of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes E$ , hence  $a_{\alpha, i} = 0$  for every  $\alpha, i$ , contradicting the hypothesis that  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F_n(J(m+1))$  are algebraically dependent.

*Remark.* The above argument did not use the fact that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are invariants. So we have proved for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  that if  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F_n(J(m))$  are algebraically independent (in the ordinary sense) modulo the commutator ideal, then they are algebraically independent in  $F_n(J(m))$ .

We have to show that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generate  $F_n(J(m))^G$ . They are homogeneous of degree  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ , and as we pointed out earlier these are the degrees of  $G$ . We conclude from the formula (2.1) and the  $Gl_n$ -structure of  $B_n/B_n \cap J(m)$  that the Hilbert series of  $F_n(J(m))$  is

$$H(F_n(J(m)); t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{2i}(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t_j)},$$

where  $\sigma_k$  is the  $k$ th elementary symmetric function ( $\sigma_0 = 1$  and  $\sigma_k = 0$  if  $k > n$ ). We have the isomorphism

$$K\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \cong F_n(J(m)),$$

hence the  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded Hilbert series of the graded subalgebra  $K\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  of  $F_n(J(m))^G$  is

$$H(F_n(J(m)); t^{d_1}, \dots, t^{d_n}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{2i}(t^{d_1}, \dots, t^{d_n})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t^{d_j})}.$$

Using the noncommutative Molien-Weyl formula (2.2)

$$H(F_n(J(m))^G; t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{2i}(\omega_1(g)t, \dots, \omega_n(g)t)}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \omega_j(g)t)}.$$

Solomon's formula

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\sigma_p(\omega_1(g), \dots, \omega_n(g))}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \omega_j(g)t)} = \frac{\sigma_p(t^{d_1-1}, \dots, t^{d_n-1})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - t^{d_j})}$$

(see [14, formula (5)]) says that  $F_n(J(m))^G$  has the same Hilbert series as its subalgebra  $K\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ , therefore the two algebras must coincide. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

*Remark.* The polynomial  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$  appears in the theory of PI-algebras as a generator of the T-ideal of identities of the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra (cf. [11]). It is interesting to note that both parts of the above proof use Solomon's results from [14] on a pseudo-reflection group acting on the tensor product of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and the Grassmann algebra of an  $n$  dimensional vector space.

#### 4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.4

Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reflection group, and suppose that  $F_n(M)^G$  is generated by  $n$  elements (where  $M$  is the T-ideal generated by all the proper polynomials of degree greater than 3). As we mentioned in Section 2, then  $F_n(M)^G$  is generated by its homogeneous elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  if and only if their images in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

generate  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ . In the sequel we assume that  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F_n(M)^G$  have this property. By (2.1) the Hilbert series of  $F_n(M)$  is

$$H(F_n(M); t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1 + S_{(1,1)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) + S_{(2,1)}(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t_i)},$$

where  $S_\lambda(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is the *Schur function* corresponding to the partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . On expressing the Schur functions  $S_{(1,1)}$  and  $S_{(2,1)}$  by the elementary symmetric polynomials we obtain

$$H(F_n(M); t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1 + \sigma_2(t_1, \dots, t_n) - \sigma_3(t_1, \dots, t_n) + \sigma_1 \sigma_2(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t_i^{d_i})}.$$

Since  $F_n(M)^G$  is generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ , it is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded homomorphic image of  $F_n(M)$ , where we give the degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  to the generators of  $F_n(M)$ . Hence in the formal power series

$$D(t) = H(F_n(M); t^{d_1}, \dots, t^{d_n}) - H(F_n(M)^G; t)$$

each coefficient is a non-negative integer. By (2.2) and (3.1) we have

$$D(t) = \frac{F(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})} - H_1(t),$$

where

$$F(t) = (t^{d_1} + \dots + t^{d_n}) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t^{d_i + d_j}$$

and

$$(4.1) \quad H_1(t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{(\omega_1(g)t + \dots + \omega_n(g)t)(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \omega_i(g)\omega_j(g)t^2)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \omega_i(g)t)}.$$

Steinberg proved (see [1, p. 127]) that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \omega_i \omega_j : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is an irreducible character of  $G$ . (Actually,  $\sum \omega_i \omega_j$  is the character of  $G < Gl(V)$  acting on the second exterior power of  $V$ , and we do not need here the irreducibility.) Therefore

$$(\bar{\omega}_1 + \dots + \bar{\omega}_n) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \bar{\omega}_i \bar{\omega}_j$$

is a character of degree  $n \binom{n}{2}$  of  $G$ , and we may decompose it as  $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \chi_i$ , where  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  are pairwise different irreducible characters of  $G$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  are positive integers. Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generated by the invariants of  $G$  of strictly positive degree. Chevalley showed in [2] that the representation of

$G$  on  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  is equivalent to the regular representation. Following [15] we associate with any irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$  the polynomial

$$p_\chi(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(\chi) t^i,$$

where  $a_i(\chi)$  is the multiplicity of  $\chi$  in the  $i$ th homogeneous component of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ . (Note that what we call  $p_\chi(t)$  is  $p_{\bar{\chi}}(t)$  in [15], because there  $G$  acts on  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  via the adjoint representation.) It turns out that  $a_i(\chi) = 0$  if  $i > \sum_{j=1}^n (d_j - 1)$  [15, Lemma 2.9]. The coefficient of  $t^d$  in the formal power series

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{(\omega_1(g) + \dots + \omega_n(g)) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \omega_i(g) \omega_j(g)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \omega_i(g)t)}$$

is the scalar product of the character  $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \chi_i$  and the character of  $G$  acting on the  $d$ th homogeneous component of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . So by [15, 2.6 Proposition and 2.9 Lemma] we have

$$(4.2) \quad H_1(t) = \frac{t^3 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i p_{\chi_i}(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})} = \frac{G(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})},$$

where  $G(t)$  is of the form

$$G(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n \binom{n}{2}} t^{e_i}$$

with  $0 \leq e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_{n \binom{n}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) + 3$ .

Now we reduce to the case of irreducible groups as it was done by Guralnick in [9]. Assume that  $n \geq 2$  is minimal such that  $F_n(M)^G$  is generated by  $n$  elements for some pseudo-reflection group  $G$ .

1. The same argument as in [9] shows that  $G$  is not Abelian.

[9, Lemma 3] remains valid with the same proof for any relatively free algebra instead of the generic matrix algebra. Therefore the minimality of  $n$  implies that  $G$  is irreducible, and we may assume that  $G$  is a complex unitary pseudo-reflection group. We shall use the classification of these groups given in [13].

2.  $n > 2$ .

Suppose that  $n = 2$ , and let  $\chi = \omega_1 + \omega_2$  denote the character of the given representation of  $G$  as a subgroup of  $Gl_2(\mathbb{C})$ . We showed above that the degree of  $G(t)$  (see (4.2)) is at most  $d_1 + d_2 + 1$ . Though this bound would suffice for our purpose, for sake of completeness we derive some more precise information on the polynomial  $G(t)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(t) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\chi(g) \omega_1(g) \omega_2(g) t^3}{(1 - \omega_1(g)t)(1 - \omega_2(g)t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{t^3}{t^2} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\chi(g)}{(1 - \omega_1(g^{-1})t^{-1})(1 - \omega_2(g^{-1})t^{-1})} \\ &= \frac{t}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\bar{\chi}(g)}{(1 - \omega_1(g)t^{-1})(1 - \omega_2(g)t^{-1})} \\ &= t \frac{p_\chi(t^{-1})}{(1 - t^{-d_1})(1 - t^{-d_2})} \end{aligned}$$

by a similar argument we used before. The character of the representation of  $G$  on the 1st homogeneous component of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  is  $\chi$ , so  $p_\chi(t) = t + \sum_{i=2}^{d_1+d_2-2} a_i(\chi)t^i$ , hence

$$H_1(t) = t \frac{t^{-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{d_1+d_2-2} a_i(\chi)t^{-i}}{(1-t^{-d_1})(1-t^{-d_2})} = \frac{t^{d_1+d_2} + \sum_{j=3}^{d_1+d_2-1} a_{d_1+d_2+1-j}(\chi)t^j}{(1-t^{d_1})(1-t^{d_2})}.$$

On the other hand, the minimal degree term of  $\frac{F(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1-t^{d_i})}$  is  $t^{2d_1+d_2}$ , thus the coefficient of  $t^{d_1+d_2}$  in  $D(t) = \frac{F(t)-G(t)}{(1-t^{d_1})(1-t^{d_2})}$  is  $-1$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $D(t)$  has non-negative coefficients.

3.  $G$  is not one of the groups of no. 23, 28, 30, 35, 36, 37 in the table [13, Table VII]. (The argument in 5 below applies also for these groups. However, first we eliminate them by exhibiting some invariants. This argument shows the role of the polynomial  $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$  explicitly.)

Suppose that  $G$  is one of these groups. It is well known (see for example [16, 4.2.15. Lemma]) that  $G$  can be defined over the reals if and only if  $G$  has an invariant of degree 2. So we can suppose that  $G < Gl_n(\mathbb{R})$  is an orthogonal group, and then a straightforward calculation shows that

$$x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle^G.$$

Thus we can choose  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  such that

$$f_1 = y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2.$$

Consider the invariant

$$f = \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (y_1(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)y_1).$$

Comparing the condition  $K\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle = F_n(M)^G$  and the degrees of the groups under consideration (cf. [13, Table VII]) we conclude that the only invariants of  $G$  of degree 4 are the scalar multiples of  $f_1^2$ , therefore  $f = af_1^2$  in  $F_n(M)$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now we have

$$f = \sum_{g \in G} (g_{11}y_1 + \dots + g_{n1}y_n)(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)(g_{11}y_1 + \dots + g_{n1}y_n),$$

and the polynomial

$$h = \sum_{g \in G} (g_{11}x_1 + \dots + g_{n1}x_n)(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)(g_{11}x_1 + \dots + g_{n1}x_n) - a(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^2$$

is contained in  $M$ . The homogeneous component of  $h$  of degree 4 in  $x_i$  is

$$\left( \sum_{g \in G} g_{i1}^2 - a \right) x_i^4, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

and it is contained in  $M$ , implying that  $a = \sum_{g \in G} g_{i1}^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since for any  $g \in G$  there exists an  $i$  with  $g_{i1} \neq 0$ , we have  $a \neq 0$ . The multihomogeneous component of  $h$  of multidegree  $(2, 2)$

$$\sum_{g \in G} g_{11}^2 x_1 x_2^2 x_1 + \sum_{g \in G} g_{21}^2 x_2 x_1^2 x_2 - a x_1^2 x_2^2 - a x_2^2 x_1^2 = a(x_1 x_2^2 x_1 + x_2 x_1^2 x_2 - x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_2^2 x_1^2)$$

is contained in  $M$ . Make the substitution  $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + 1$ ,  $x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + 1$  in the above polynomial, then we get

$$2ax_1x_2x_1 + \text{other monomials.}$$

Hence  $M$  contains a polynomial of degree 3, which contradicts the definition of  $M$ .

4.  $G$  is not  $G(m, p, n)$  (the groups no. 2 in [13, Table VII]).

Recall the definition of  $G(m, p, n)$ . Let  $n \geq 3$  (the case  $n = 2$  was handled in 2.),  $m \geq 2$ ,  $p$  be positive integers such that  $p$  divides  $m$ . Let  $A(m, p, n) < Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the group of diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are  $m$ th roots of unity and the determinant is an  $\frac{m}{p}$ th root of unity. Consider  $Sym(n)$  as the group of permutation matrices in  $Gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Clearly,  $Sym(n)$  normalizes  $A(m, p, n)$ , and  $G(m, p, n)$  is defined as the semidirect product

$$G(m, p, n) = A(m, p, n) \rtimes Sym(n).$$

Consider the polynomials

$$h_i = \sum_{\pi \in Sym(n)} \pi \cdot \sigma_i(x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1;$$

$$h_n = \sum_{\pi \in Sym(n)} \pi \cdot (x_1 \dots x_n)^{m/p}.$$

The above semidirect decomposition of  $G(m, p, n)$  shows that

$$h_1, \dots, h_n \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle^G,$$

and it is well known that their images in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  form a basic set of invariants, with degrees  $m, 2m, 3m, \dots, (n-1)m, \frac{m}{p}n$ . So we may suppose that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are the images of  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  under the natural homomorphism  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow F_n(M)$ . Consider the invariant

$$f = \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (y_1^{m-1} f_1 y_1).$$

Any  $g \in G$  can be written in the form  $g = a\pi$  for some  $a \in A(m, p, n)$  and  $\pi \in Sym(n)$ . So we have  $g(y_1) = \theta y_{\pi(1)}$  for some permutation  $\pi$  and  $m$ th root of unity  $\theta$ , and

$$f = (n-1)!m^n/p \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{m-1} (y_1^m + \dots + y_n^m) y_i.$$

By our hypothesis  $f$  is contained in  $K\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ , and since  $\deg(f_3), \dots, \deg(f_n) > \deg(f) = 2m$ ,  $f$  can be expressed with  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_n$ . More precisely, there exists a polynomial

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{m-1} (x_1^m + \dots + x_n^m) x_i - (c_1 h_1^2 + c_2 h_2 + \sum_j a_j h_n b_j) \in M,$$

where  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $a_j, b_j \in K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Consider the multihomogeneous components of  $h$ . The coefficient of  $x_1^{2m}$  is  $1 - c_1$ , implying that  $c_1 = 1$ . Since  $n \geq 3$ , any monomial of  $h_n$  contains the variable  $x_3$ , therefore the sum of the coefficients of the monomials of multidegree  $(m, m)$  is  $2 - 2c_1 - kc_2$  for some positive integer  $k$ , showing that  $c_2 = 0$ . Thus the polynomial

$$x_1^{m-1} x_2^m x_1 + x_2^{m-1} x_1^m x_2 - x_1^m x_2^m - x_2^m x_1^m$$

is contained in  $M$ . After the substitution  $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + 1$ ,  $x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + 1$  we obtain a polynomial in which the coefficient of  $x_1 x_2 x_1$  is  $(m-1)m$ . Again this contradicts the fact that  $M$  has no elements of degree 3.

5.  $G$  is not one of the groups no. 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34 or no. 1 with  $n \geq 4$  in [13, Table VII].

Let  $a, b$  be functions  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $c$  be a function  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $a(n) = b(n) + O(c(n))$ , if  $|a(n) - b(n)| \leq Lc(n)$  for some constant  $L$ . We need the following lemma (cf. [16, 2.5.9. Lemma]):

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $H(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  be a formal power series of the form*

$$H(t) = \frac{A(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} c_d t^d,$$

where  $A(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ .

$$(i) \quad (1-t)^n H(t) \Big|_{t=1} = \frac{A(1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n d_i}.$$

$$(ii) \quad (1-t)^{n-1} H(t) - \frac{A(1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n d_i} \frac{1}{1-t} \Big|_{t=1} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} A(1) \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) - A'(1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n d_i}.$$

(iii) Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  and all sets of  $n-1$  of the  $d_i$  have greatest common divisor 1. Then we have

$$c_d = \frac{A(1)}{(n-1)! \prod_{i=1}^n d_i} d^{n-1} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} A(1) \sum_{i=1}^n d_i - A'(1)}{(n-2)! \prod_{i=1}^n d_i} d^{n-2} + O(d^{n-3}).$$

(In [16]  $n \geq 4$  is required, but the proof works also for  $n = 3$ .)

Now we investigate the power series  $D(t) = \frac{F(t) - G(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})}$ . Since  $F(1) = G(1) = n \binom{n}{2}$ , by Lemma 4.1 (i)  $D(t)$  has no pole  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^n}$ . By Lemma 4.1 (ii) the coefficient of the pole  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^{n-1}}$  in the Laurent series of  $\frac{F(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})}$  is

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2} n \binom{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) - \frac{3}{2} n(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n d_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n d_i} = \frac{n(n-1)}{4|G|} ((n-6) \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) - 6n).$$

By (4.1) the coefficient of the pole  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^{n-1}}$  in the Laurent series of  $H_1(t)$  is

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in R} \frac{(1 + \dots + 1 + \omega(g)) \binom{n-1}{2} + (n-1)\omega(g)}{1 - \omega(g)},$$

where  $R = \{g \in G \mid g \text{ is a pseudo-reflection}\}$  and  $\omega(g)$  is the eigenvalue of  $g$  different from 1. It is well known (and one can derive from Lemma 4.1 (i)) that

$$\sum_{g \in R} \frac{1}{1 - \omega(g)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1).$$

Using this formula we get the equalities

$$\sum_{g \in R} \frac{\omega(g)}{1 - \omega(g)} = - \sum_{g \in R} \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\omega}(g)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1)$$

and

$$\sum_{g \in R} \frac{\omega(g)^2}{1 - \omega(g)} = \sum_{g \in R} \left(-1 - \omega(g) + \frac{1}{1 - \omega(g)}\right) = N - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1),$$

where  $N$  is the number of the reflecting hyperplanes. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in R} \frac{((n-1) + \omega(g)) \binom{n-1}{2} + (n-1)\omega(g)}{1 - \omega(g)} \\ = \frac{n-1}{4|G|} ((n^2 - 6n + 4) \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) + 4N), \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficient of the pole  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^{n-1}}$  in  $D(t)$  is

$$\frac{n-1}{2|G|} (-3n^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) - 2N) = \frac{G'(1) - F'(1)}{|G|}.$$

(The last equality holds by Lemma 4.1 (ii).)

Denote by  $\delta$  the greatest common divisor of  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ . The assumption  $F_n(M)^G = K\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  implies that  $\delta$  divides each of the  $e_1, \dots, e_{n \binom{n}{2}}$ , that is, we may write

$$D(t) = \frac{A(s)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - s^{d_i/\delta})} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} c_d s^d,$$

where  $s = t^\delta$  and  $A(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ . One can check in [13, Table VII] that for the groups under consideration the numbers  $d_1/\delta, \dots, d_n/\delta$  satisfy the condition of Lemma 4.1 (iii), so applying this lemma and the equalities  $A(1) = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} A(s) \Big|_{s=1} = \delta(G'(1) - F'(1))$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_d &= \frac{\delta(G'(1) - F'(1))}{(n-2)! \prod_{i=1}^n d_i} d^{n-2} + O(d^{n-3}) \\ &= \frac{-\delta(n-1)}{2(n-2)!|G|} (3n^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) + 2N) d^{n-2} + O(d^{n-3}). \end{aligned}$$



This immediately implies that for sufficiently large  $d$  the coefficient of  $t^d$  in the power series  $D(t)$  is strictly negative, which is a contradiction.

6.  $G$  is not the group no. 25 in [13, Table VII].

This group has 24 pseudo-reflections, all of them are of order 3 (see [3, p. 412, Table]), so the number of reflecting hyperplanes is 12. By the calculations in 5 we have

$$F'(1) - G'(1) = 3 \cdot 3^2 + 2 \cdot 24 + 2 \cdot 12.$$

On the other hand

$$F'(1) - G'(1) = 9(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) - (e_1 + \dots + e_9);$$

therefore

$$\frac{e_1 + \dots + e_9}{9} = 16,$$

implying that  $e_1 \leq 16$ . But we have

$$F(t) = t^{2d_1+d_2} + \text{higher degree terms},$$

and since  $2d_1 + d_2 = 21$ , the coefficient of  $t^{e_1}$  in  $D(t) = \frac{F(t)-G(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^9(1-t^{d_i})}$  is strictly negative. This is a contradiction.

7.  $G$  is not  $Sym(4)$ , that is, the group no. 1 with  $n = 3$  in [13, Table VII].

One can calculate the power series  $D(t)$  directly and conclude that it has a negative coefficient.

We have eliminated all the finite irreducible complex unitary reflection groups, so the proof of Proposition 2.4 is complete.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the Széchenyi István Scholarship Foundation for the support which made it possible to visit the Penn State University in the first half of 1994. I thank the Department of Mathematics, especially E. Formanek for their hospitality during my visit. I am also grateful to V. Drensky for some earlier conversations on this topic.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. IV, V, VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968. MR **39**:1590
2. C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 778-782. MR **17**:345d
3. A. M. Cohen, *Finite complex reflection groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **9** (1976), 379-436. MR **54**:10437
4. W. Dicks and E. Formanek, *Poincare series and a problem of S. Montgomery*, Lin. Mult. Alg. **12** (1982), 21-30. MR **84c**:15032
5. V. Drensky, *Polynomial identities for  $2 \times 2$  matrices*, Acta Appl. Math. **21** (1990), 137-161. MR **91k**:16024
6. V. Drensky, *Computational techniques for PI-algebras*, Topics in Algebra, Banach Center Publications, Vol. 26, Part 1., PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1990, pp. 17-44. MR **93e**:16036
7. V. Drensky, *Private communication* (1993).
8. E. Formanek, *Noncommutative invariant theory*, Contemporary Mathematics 43, Group Actions on Rings, S. Montgomery ed., Amer. Math. Soc., 1985, pp. 87-119. MR **87d**:16046

9. R. M. Guralnick, *Invariants of finite linear groups acting on relatively free algebras*, Linear Alg. Appl. **72** (1985), 85-92. MR **87d**:16050
10. V. K. Kharchenko, *Noncommutative invariants of finite groups and Noetherian varieties*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **31** (1984), 83-90. MR **85j**:16052
11. D. Krakowsky and A. Regev, *The polynomial identities of the Grassmann algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **181** (1973), 429-438. MR **48**:4005
12. L. H. Rowen, *Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1980. MR **82a**:16021
13. G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*, Canad. J. Math. **6** (1954), 274-304. MR **15**:600b
14. L. Solomon, *Invariants of finite reflection groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **22** (1963), 57-64. MR **27**:4872
15. T. A. Springer, *Regular elements of finite reflection groups*, Inv. Math. **25** (1974), 159-198. MR **50**:7371
16. T. A. Springer, *Invariant Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 585, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977. MR **56**:5740

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, P.O.B. 127, H-1364,  
BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

*E-mail address*: domokos@math-inst.hu